

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Pritchard
<b>Given Name</b>	Lily
<b>Person ID</b>	1287485
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287485_Image1_Redacted.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image3.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFELilyPritchard.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image4.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image5.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image2_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Pritchard
<b>Given Name</b>	Lily
<b>Person ID</b>	1287485
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287485_Image2_Redacted.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image5.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image4.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image3.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image1_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I do not believe that local cooperation is being allowed in the creation and review of these plans. Salford council have already disagreed with various statements made by the GMCA and yet the local view is not being taken into account.
<b>Family Name</b>	Pritchard
<b>Given Name</b>	Lily
<b>Person ID</b>	1287485
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287485_Image1_Redacted.pdf</a>

	<a href="#">PFE1287485_Image3.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image4.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image5.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image2_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	You are proposing to destroy a significant and much used green area, in an already heavily built area - it is therefore impossible that this is compliant with the requirements to protect the environment and access to green spaces.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The use of Green Field sites should be removed from the plan. Alternatives should be found, and if none are available within the requested areas, new neighbourhoods should be prioritised. It is impossible and illegal to continuously over-saturate areas until life within them is unbearable, just to satisfy greedy developers who want to spend their money where property prices are already high.
<b>Family Name</b>	Pritchard
<b>Given Name</b>	Lily
<b>Person ID</b>	1287485
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287485_Image2_Redacted.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image5.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image4.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image3.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image1_Redacted.pdf</a>

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>(1) The land in hazelhurst farm is an important and central green space to a heavily built area. Destroying that space is not compliant with the requirements so support clean air and green spaces for residents of Salford. Local schools, nurseries and other residents regularly use the space for exercise, learning and recreation. Taking it away will significantly harm the quality of life of local residents, and the quality of local air which is already poor.</p> <p>(2) Local primary schools are already over-subscribed. If hundreds of additional homes are built, parents in both these new and existing homes will have to travel many miles to take their kids to school, in other local authorities which are struggling too. The allocation of "land for a school" is a useless commitment, as land cannot educate - will the developers build the school, and pay the salaries of the teachers for the next 30 years? If not, how will this "land" help the local education system?</p> <p>(3) Traffic in the area is already unbearable (please see supporting uploads). The three ways out of the neighbourhood - Hazelhurst road to the East Lancashire Road, Worsley road to the East Lancashire Road and Worsley Road to the M60 roundabout are already at a standstill with 20 minutes" of traffic every single morning. The local roads cannot take the traffic associated with 700 more houses.</p> <p>(3a) the local motorway junctions of the M60, M62 and the M602 are already the busiest in Europe, with traffic at a standstill for hours every morning and evening. Road in the area simply cannot support any additional vehicles associated with these additional houses.</p> <p>(4) Local drains are already overwhelmed, with regular local flooding during heavy rainfall (please see supporting uploads). This flooding will be made worse by green areas, which absorb rainfall, being built on, pushing more and more rain water into the overwhelmed drains.</p> <p>(5) Local GP practices are already overwhelmed, with residents waiting weeks for simple appointments. Additional housing cannot be support until such time as local NHS services are funded to provide additional services.</p> <p>(6) Local bus services are minimal, and not fit for purpose. Most buses who used to run on Worsley road have been removed, with the reason quoted as "heavy local traffic mean the bus cannot keep to its schedule". Bus services running on the East Lancashire Road are insufficient for local needs, with residents having to wait for multiple busses to pass by as they are too full and cannot be boarded.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant</b>	Any plans to develop land on Hazelhurst Farm must be scrapped. The space must be maintained as a green space and protected, for the quality of life of all residents.

<b>and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Pritchard
<b>Given Name</b>	Lily
<b>Person ID</b>	1287485
<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1287485_Image1_Redacted.pdf</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image3.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image4.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image5.jpg</a> <a href="#">PFE1287485_Image2_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>(1) Traffic in the area is already unbearable. Leigh Road, Worlsey Road and the East Lancashire Road are already at a standstill for hours every single morning. There is simply not the local infrastructure to support additional housing.</p> <p>(2) Local school cannot take additional children as there are no spaces. The plan is for these new residents to go to school on the land allocated for a school in Hazelhurst farm, yet (a) the land is only land, and no commitment exists to actually build the school; (b) the Hazelhurst plans might be scrapped, due to heavy local objections and blatantly illegal proposals and (c) even if there were a school in Hazelhurst, the local traffic cannot take the cars of parents to drive kids to the "school".</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plans to build in this land must be scrapped until such time as local infrastructure is available to support additional housing.